PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE*

Rule 41. Search and Seizure**

1	(a) Scope and Defin	itions.
2		* * * * *
3	(2) Definitions.	The following definitions apply
4	under this rul	e:
5		* * * * *
6	<u>(D)</u> " <u>Don</u>	nestic terrorism" and "international
7	terror	ism" have the meanings set out in 18
8	<u>U.S.C</u>	<u>C. § 2331.</u>
9	(E) <u>"Trac</u>	king device" has the meaning set out
10	<u>in 18</u>	U.S.C. § 3117(b).

^{*} New material is underlined; matter to be omitted is lined through.

^{**} Text of rule based on amendments that take effect on December 1, 2002, unless Congress takes action otherwise.

11	(b) Authority to Issue a Warrant. At the request of a
12	federal law enforcement officer or an attorney for the
13	government:
14	(1) a magistrate judge with authority in the district—
15	or if none is reasonably available, a judge of a
16	state court of record in the district — has
17	authority to issue a warrant to search for and seize
18	a person or property located within the district;
19	(2) a magistrate judge with authority in the district
20	has authority to issue a warrant for a person or
21	property outside the district if the person or
22	property is located within the district when the
23	warrant is issued but might move or be moved
24	outside the district before the warrant is executed;
25	and
26	(3) a magistrate judge — in an investigation of
27	domestic terrorism or international terrorism (as

	FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE 3
28	defined in 18 U.S.C. § 2331) — having with
29	authority in any district in which activities related
30	to the terrorism may have occurred, may issue a
31	warrant for a person or property within or outside
32	that district: and
33	(4) a magistrate judge with authority in the district
34	may issue a warrant to install within the district a
35	tracking device, to use a tracking device, or both;
36	the warrant may authorize use of the device to
37	track the movement of a person or property
38	located within the district, outside the district, or
39	both.
40	* * * *
41	(d) Obtaining a Warrant.
42	(1) Probable Cause In General. After receiving an
43	affidavit or other information, a magistrate judge
44	— or if authorized by Rule 41(b), or a judge of a

4	FEDERAL RUL	ES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE
45	state co	urt of record must issue the warrant if
46	there is	probable cause to search for and seize a
47	person	or property or to install or use a tracking
48	device under Rule 41(c).	
49		* * * * *
50	(e) Issuing the	e Warrant.
51	(1) In Gene	eral. The magistrate judge or a judge of a
52	state court of record must issue the warrant to an	
53	officer authorized to execute it.	
54	(2) Conten	ts of the Warrant.
55	<u>(A)</u>	Warrant to Search for and Seize a Person
56		or Property. Except for a tracking-device
57		warrant, T-the warrant must identify the
58		person or property to be searched,
59		identify any person or property to be
60		seized, and designate the magistrate
61		judge to whom it must be returned. The

	FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE 5
62	warrant must command the officer to:
63	(A)(i) execute the warrant within a specified
64	time no longer than 10 days;
65	(B)(ii) execute the warrant during the
66	daytime, unless the judge for good
67	cause expressly authorizes execution
68	at another time; and
69	(C)(iii) return the warrant to the magistrate
70	judge designated in the warrant.
71	(B) Warrant for a Tracking Device. A
72	tracking-device warrant must identify the
73	person or property to be tracked, designate
74	the magistrate judge to whom it must be
75	returned, and specify the length of time
76	that the device may be used. The time
77	must not exceed 45 days from the date the
78	warrant was issued. The court may, for

6	FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE
79	good cause, grant one or more extensions
80	of no more than 45 days each. The warrant
81	must command the officer to:
82	(i) complete any installation authorized
83	by the warrant within a specified time
84	no longer than 10 calendar days;
85	(ii) perform any installation authorized by
86	the warrant during the daytime, unless
87	the judge for good cause expressly
88	authorizes installation at another time;
89	<u>and</u>
90	(iii) return the warrant to the magistrate
91	judge designated in the warrant.
92	(3) Warrant by Telephonic or Other Means.
93	* * * *

FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE 7
94 (f) Executing and Returning the Warrant.
95 (1) Warrant to Search for and Seize a Person or
96 <u>Property.</u>
97 (1)(A) Noting the Time. The officer executing
98 the warrant must enter on its face it the
99 exact date and time it is was executed.
100 (2)(B) <i>Inventory</i> . An officer present during the
execution of the warrant must prepare and
verify an inventory of any property seized.
The officer must do so in the presence of
another officer and the person from
whom, or from whose premises, the
property was taken. If either one is not
present, the officer must prepare and
verify the inventory in the presence of at

least one other credible person.

109

110	(3) (C)	Receipt. The officer executing the
111		warrant must: (A) give a copy of the
112		warrant and a receipt for the property
113		taken to the person from whom, or from
114		whose premises, the property was taken;
115		or (B) must leave a copy of the warrant
116		and receipt at the place where the officer
117		took the property.
118	(4) (<u>D)</u>	Return. The officer executing the warrant
119		must promptly return it — together with
120		the copy of the inventory — to the
121		magistrate judge designated on the
122		warrant. The judge must, on request, give
123		a copy of the inventory to the person from
124		whom, or from whose premises, the
125		property was taken and to the applicant
126		for the warrant.

127 <u>(2</u>)	<u> Warra</u>	nt for a Tracking Device.
128	<u>(A)</u>	Noting the Time. The officer executing a
129		tracking-device warrant must enter on it
130		the date and time the device was installed
131		and the period during which it was used.
132	<u>(B)</u>	Return. Within 10 calendar days after the
133		use of the tracking device has ended, the
134		officer executing the warrant must return
135		it to the magistrate judge designated in the
136		warrant.
137	<u>(C)</u>	Service. Within 10 calendar days after the
138		use of the tracking device has ended, the
139		officer executing a tracking-device
140		warrant must serve a copy of the warrant
141		on the person who was tracked or whose
142		property was tracked. Service may be
143		accomplished by delivering a copy to the

144	person who, or whose property, was
145	tracked; or by leaving a copy at the
146	person's residence or usual place of abode
147	with someone of suitable age and
148	discretion who resides at that location and
149	by mailing a copy to the person's last
150	known address. Upon request of the
151	government, the magistrate judge may, on
152	one or more occasions, for good cause
153	extend the time to serve the warrant for a
154	reasonable period.
155	(3) Delayed Notice. Upon request of the government,
156	a magistrate judge — or if authorized by Rule
157	41(b), a judge of a state court of record — may
158	delay any notice required by this rule if the delay
159	is authorized by statute.
160	* * * *

COMMITTEE NOTE

The amendments to Rule 41 address two issues: first, procedures for issuing tracking-device warrants and second, a provision for delaying any notice required by the rule.

Amended Rule 41(a)(2) includes two new definitional provisions. The first, in Rule 41(a)(2)(D), addresses the definitions of "domestic terrorism" and "international terrorism," terms used in Rule 41(b)(2). The second, in Rule 41(a)(2)(E), addresses the definition of "tracking device."

Amended Rule 41(b)(4) is a new provision, designed to address the use of tracking devices. Such searches are recognized both by statute, see 18 U.S.C. § 3117(b) and by caselaw, see, e.g., United States v. Karo, 468 U.S. 705 (1984); United States v. Knotts, 460 U.S. 276 (1983). Nonetheless, there is no procedural guidance in current Rule 41 for those judicial officers who are asked to issue tracking-device warrants. As with traditional search warrants for persons or property, tracking-device warrants may implicate law enforcement interests in multiple districts. Further, warrants may be required to monitor tracking devices when they are used to monitor persons or property in areas where there is a reasonable expectation of privacy. See, e.g., United States v. Karo, supra (although no probable cause was required to install beeper, officers' monitoring of its location in defendant's home raised Fourth Amendment concerns).

The amendment provides that a magistrate judge may issue a warrant, if he or she has the authority to do so in the district, to install or use a tracking device, as that term is defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3117(b). The magistrate judge's authority to allow installation of a tracking device includes the authority to permit maintenance and removal of the tracking device. The Committee did not intend by this amendment to expand or contract the definition of what might

constitute a tracking device. The amendment is based on the understanding that the device will assist officers only in tracking the movements of a person or property. The warrant may authorize officers to track the person or property within the district of issuance, or outside the district.

Because the authorized tracking may involve more than one district or state, the Committee believes that only federal judicial officers should be authorized to issue this type of warrant. Even where officers have no reason to believe initially that a person or property will move outside the district of issuance, issuing a warrant to authorize tracking both inside and outside the district avoids the necessity of obtaining multiple warrants if the property or person later crosses district or state lines.

The amendment reflects the view that if the officers intend to install or use the device in a constitutionally protected area, they must obtain judicial approval to do so. If, on the other hand, the officers intend to install and use the device without implicating any Fourth Amendment rights, there is no need to obtain the warrant. *See, e.g., United States v. Knotts, supra*, where the officers' actions in installing and following tracking device did not amount to a search under the Fourth Amendment.

Amended Rule 41(d) includes new language on tracking devices. The tracking-device statute, 18 U.S.C. § 3117, does not specify the standard an applicant must meet to install a tracking device. The Supreme Court has acknowledged that the standard for installation of a tracking device is unresolved, but has reserved ruling on the issue until it is squarely presented by the facts of a case. *See United States v. Karo*, 468 U.S. 705, 718 n. 5 (1984). The amendment to Rule 41 does not resolve this issue or hold that such warrants may issue only on a showing of probable cause. Instead, it simply provides that if probable cause is shown, the magistrate judge must issue the warrant. And the warrant is only needed if the device is installed (for example, in the trunk of the defendant's car) or

monitored (for example, while the car is in the defendant's garage) in an area in which the person being monitored has a reasonable expectation of privacy.

Amended Rule 41(e)(2)(B) is a new provision intended to address the contents of tracking-device warrants. To avoid openended monitoring of tracking devices, the revised rule requires the magistrate judge to specify in the warrant the length of time for using the device. Although the initial time stated in the warrant may not exceed 45 days, extensions of time may be granted for good cause. The rule further specifies that any installation of a tracking device authorized by the warrant must be made within ten calendar days and, unless otherwise provided, that any installation occur during daylight hours.

Current Rule 41(f) has been completely revised to accommodate new provisions dealing with tracking-device warrants. First, current Rule 41(f)(1) has been revised to address execution and delivery of warrants to search for and seize a person or property; no substantive change has been made to that provision. New Rule 41(f)(2) addresses execution and delivery of tracking-device warrants. That provision generally tracks the structure of revised Rule 41(f)(1), with appropriate adjustments for the particular requirements of tracking-device warrants. Under Rule 41(f)(2)(A) the officer must note on the warrant the time the device was installed and the period during which the device was used. And under new Rule 41(f)(2)(B), the officer must return the tracking-device warrant to the magistrate judge designated in the warrant within 10 calendar days after use of the device has ended.

Amended Rule 41(f)(2)(C) addresses the particular problems of serving a copy of a tracking-device warrant on the person who has been tracked, or whose property has been tracked. In the case of other warrants, current Rule 41 envisions that the subjects of the search typically know that they have been searched, usually within a short period of time after the search has taken place. Tracking-device warrants, on the other hand, are by their nature covert

intrusions and can be successfully used only when the person being investigated is unaware that a tracking device is being used. The amendment requires that the officer must serve a copy of the tracking-device warrant on the person within 10 calendar days after the tracking has ended. That service may be accomplished by either personally serving the person or by leaving a copy at the person's residence or usual abode and by sending a copy by mail. The Rule also provides, however, that the officer may (for good cause) obtain the court's permission to delay further the delivery of the warrant. That might be appropriate, for example, where the owner of the tracked property is undetermined, or where the officer establishes that the investigation is ongoing and that disclosure of the warrant will compromise that investigation.

Use of a tracking device is to be distinguished from other continuous monitoring or observations that are governed by statutory provisions or caselaw. *See* Title III, Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968, *as amended* by Title I of the 1968 Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2520; *United States v. Biasucci*, 786 F.2d 504 (2d Cir. 1986) (use of video camera); *United States v. Torres*, 751 F.2d 875 (7th Cir. 1984) (television surveillance).

Finally, amended Rule 41(f)(3) is a new provision that permits the government to request, and the magistrate judge to grant, a delay in any notice required in Rule 41. The amendment is coextensive with 18 U.S.C. § 3103a(b). That new provision, added as part of the Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism (USA PATRIOT) Act of 2001, authorizes a court to delay any notice required in conjunction with the issuance of any search warrants.